Safe People, Safe Planet

Participant booklet · Making Safety and Sustainability a Culture in Every VET Programme

EfVET Annual Conference 2026 · Brussels · Friday 23 October 2026 · 11:00–12:00 · Studio 201 A+B

Facilitators: Davit Mazmishvili and Ilona Chukhua · Safety Academia Vocational College, Tbilisi · This session is AI-assisted.

What this workshop is

Safety and environmental responsibility usually appear in VET as separate topics, taught and assessed apart from each other. This session asks a narrower question: Can a learner demonstrate both within the same occupational task? Can an assessor observe that performance? And what must a provider or employer supply to make it possible? You will test a task-design method, challenge another table's work, and leave a documented set of proposals and objections for EfVET's consideration.

We bring a method; you bring the evidence

This is a facilitated shared brainstorm, not a showcase of one college. Colleagues from other systems and institutions may have equal or deeper experience with this question, and the session runs on it. Bring one example from your own system or institution, one that worked or one that did not, and put it on the table. You can also leave it in the "Share your example" section of the participant hub.

A worked example

One worked example runs through the session: welding a metal frame in the training workshop. It is printed on the pair card and shown on the hub page "Four questions and worked example". It is an example only, so choose your own task.

How AI is used in this session

This session is not recorded or transcribed. AI is used only in a support role. AI does not score, judge or rank tables or people; the room votes and the facilitators break ties. The facilitators remain accountable for every outcome. (1) Response capture: poll answers and table submissions flow into a structured sheet. (2) Reporting: AI helps draft the post-workshop report in English from the collated responses and the facilitators' notes. All AI output is in English; input in another language is translated into English.

Your data, and your choice

What is captured: your voluntary poll answers, table submissions, registration details and optional photos. Why: to run the session and to write the post-workshop report. Participation is voluntary. Polls are anonymous; photos need your consent. QR 1 and QR 2 are anonymous totals and are never used for awards. QR 3 is the room's vote for the table that presented best: one anonymous vote per phone, only totals shown. AI does not score, judge or rank; the room votes and the facilitators break ties. Retention: material is kept only as long as needed for the report, then deleted. Contact for any data request: Davit Mazmishvili, davit@safetyacademia.edu.ge.

What we reward, and why

At the end, the room votes for the table that presented best (QR 3), judged on four criteria: a clear one-sentence outcome; covers both safety and the environment; practical, something a college could really use; clearly presented in the time given. The criteria are announced at the start. One vote per phone, no voting for your own table; the facilitators break ties. The winning table receives a gift, and every table receives a small thank-you gift. Awards recognise the workshop exercise only; every table's proposals and objections remain part of the record. Gifts are handed over at the end, or immediately outside if the room must clear on time.

What happens afterwards

A faithful written synthesis of all proposals, objections and evidence gaps will be prepared and submitted to EfVET for consideration after the workshop. The report, drafted with AI support from the collected responses and facilitators' notes and checked by the facilitators, is shared by email with participants who left their address. The synthesis reports the number of respondents and sectors, the proposals, the objections and the evidence gaps. It distinguishes what attendees perceive and propose from measured learning or workplace outcomes, and does not present self-reported answers as a representative comparison.

If the technology fails

If a QR poll fails, show your answer with fingers 1 to 4 (QR 1 and QR 2). If the QR 3 vote fails, each person raises a hand once for the table that presented best (not their own); the facilitators count and break ties. QR Poll 2 asks the QR Poll 1 question again after the table work, so the room can compare. The session has three QR polls. The table canvases and presentations proceed either way.

Rev 2.0, 20 October 2026. Updated extract of the AI-Integrated Workshop Organizational & Procedural Manual.